

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/627,381 | WILLIAN ET AL. | |

| | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| JOSHUA JOO | 2454 | |

All Participants:

(1) Joshua Joo.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Matthew Johnson, Reg. No. 59,108.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 September 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner submitted a proposed amendment for review by Applicant, and Applicant authorized the issuance of the Examiner's Amendment based on the proposed amendment.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Joshua Joo/
Examiner, Art Unit 2454

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)